

## Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 10, 1998

Mr. Miles K. Risley Senior Assistant City Attorney Legal Department City of Victoria P.O. Box 1758 Victoria, Texas 77902-1758

OR98-2148

Dear Mr. Risley:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 117890.

The City of Victoria (the "city") received a request for a particular police report. You assert that the requested information is excepted from required public disclosure based on section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure information that is made confidential by law, including information made confidential by statute. Section 261.201 of the Family Code reads in relevant part as follows:

- (a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:
- (1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and
- (2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

We believe that the requested information consists of reports, records, and working papers used or developed in an investigation made under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Because you have not cited any specific rule that the city has adopted with regard to the release of this type of information, we assume that no such regulation exists. Given that assumption, the requested information is confidential pursuant to section 261.201 of the Family Code. See Open Records Decision No. 440 (1986) at 2 (construing predecessor statute). Accordingly, the city must not release the requested information to the requestor. Gov't Code § 552.101.

We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Lay Darting

Kay Hastings

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

KHH/mjc

Ref.: ID# 117890

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Frances Noriega

4003 N. Nimitz #F Victoria, Texas 77901 (w/o enclosures)